★Environmental Quality Survey (1) Canary Wharf

| Name of person assessing | | |
|--|------------------------|--|
| What is being assessed? (housing, street, in | ndustry, offices etc.) | |

General description of area

Environmental Quality Survey

| | onnental Quanty Guivey | | 1 | | 1 | ı | |
|--------------------------|---|-------------|-------------------|----------|----------------------|-----------------|---|
| Qualities being assessed | | High + 2 | Generally fine +1 | Av. 0 | Generally poor -1 | Very poor -2 | |
| | Well designed / pleasing to the eye | | | | | | Poorly designed / ugly |
| Buildings | In good condition Evidence of maintenance / improvement Outside – land, gardens or open space are in good | | | | | | In poor condition Poorly maintained / no improvement Outside – no gardens, or land / open space in poor condition |
| | condition 5. No vandalism evident 6. Roads have no traffic | | | | | | Extensive vandalism Streets badly congested |
| Traffic | congestion 7. Parking is easy; garages or spaces provided | | | | | | Parking is very difficult; no parking provision |
| Ĕ | No traffic noise Safe for people No smell from traffic or other pollution | | | | | | High noise volume from traffic Dangerous for people Obvious smell from traffic or other pollution |
| ce / | 11. Large gardens or open space outside house | | | | | | No garden / open space – door opens to street |
| Open space / gardens | 12. Trees and shrubs visible from close by | | | | | | No greenery visible from house |
| ď | 13. Public parks within easy distance | | | | | | No public parks easily accessible |
| General quality | 14. No litter 15. Roads well maintained with paving etc. well kept | | | | | | Much litter Roads poorly maintained with paving etc. broken |
| Ger | 16. Close to public transport 17. Close to shops, amenities or services | | | | | | Long way from public transport Remote from shops, amenities / services |

Other factors worth noting

★Environmental Quality Survey (2) Canary Wharf

| Name of person assessing | | |
|--|------------------------|--|
| What is being assessed? (housing, street, in | ndustry, offices etc.) | |

General description of area

Environmental Quality Survey

| | onnental Quality Guivey | | 1 | | 1 | T | |
|--------------------------|--|-------------|-------------------|----------|----------------------|-----------------|--|
| Qualities being assessed | | High + 2 | Generally fine +1 | Av. 0 | Generally poor -1 | Very poor -2 | |
| | 18. Well designed / pleasing to the eye | | | | | | Poorly designed / ugly |
| Buildings | 19. In good condition 20. Evidence of maintenance / improvement 21. Outside – land, gardens or open space are in good condition | | | | | | In poor condition Poorly maintained / no improvement Outside – no gardens, or land / open space in poor condition |
| Traffic | 22. No vandalism evident 23. Roads have no traffic congestion 24. Parking is easy; garages or spaces provided 25. No traffic noise 26. Safe for people 27. No smell from traffic or other pollution | | | | | | Extensive vandalism Streets badly congested Parking is very difficult; no parking provision High noise volume from traffic Dangerous for people Obvious smell from traffic or other pollution |
| Open space / gardens | 28. Large gardens or open space outside house 29. Trees and shrubs visible from close by 30. Public parks within easy distance | | | | | | No garden / open space – door opens to street No greenery visible from house No public parks easily accessible |
| General quality | 31. No litter 32. Roads well maintained with paving etc. well kept 33. Close to public transport 34. Close to shops, amenities or services | | | | | | Much litter Roads poorly maintained with paving etc. broken Long way from public transport Remote from shops, amenities / services |

Other factors worth noting

★Environmental Quality Survey (3) Canary Wharf

| Name of person assessing | | |
|--|------------------------|--|
| What is being assessed? (housing, street, in | ndustry, offices etc.) | |

General description of area

Environmental Quality Survey

| | The second secon | | 1 | | 1 | | Г |
|--------------------------|--|-------------|-------------------|----------|-------------------|-----------------|--|
| Qualities being assessed | | High + 2 | Generally fine +1 | Av. 0 | Generally poor -1 | Very poor -2 | |
| | 35. Well designed / pleasing to the eye | | | | | | Poorly designed / ugly |
| | 36. In good condition | | | | | | In poor condition |
| Buildings | 37. Evidence of maintenance / improvement | | | | | | Poorly maintained / no improvement |
| Bui | 38. Outside – land, gardens or open space are in good condition | | | | | | Outside – no gardens, or land / open space in poor condition |
| | 39. No vandalism evident | | | | | | Extensive vandalism |
| | 40. Roads have no traffic congestion | | | | | | Streets badly congested |
| Traffic | 41. Parking is easy; garages or spaces provided | | | | | | Parking is very difficult; no parking provision |
| Га | 42. No traffic noise | | | | | | High noise volume from traffic |
| • | 43. Safe for people | | | | | | Dangerous for people |
| | 44. No smell from traffic or other pollution | | | | | | Obvious smell from traffic or other pollution |
| / es / | 45. Large gardens or open space outside house | | | | | | No garden / open space – door opens to street |
| Open space / gardens | 46. Trees and shrubs visible from close by | | | | | | No greenery visible from house |
| Ope g | 47. Public parks within easy distance | | | | | | No public parks easily accessible |
| eral lity | 48. No litter | | | | | | Much litter |
| | 49. Roads well maintained with | | | | | | Roads poorly maintained with |
| | paving etc. well kept | | | | | | paving etc. broken |
| General quality | 50. Close to public transport | | | | | | Long way from public transport |
| 9 6 | 51. Close to shops, amenities | | | | | | Remote from shops, amenities / |
| | or services | | | | | | services |

Other factors worth noting

| Overall score (max. 34) | |
|-------------------------|--|
| | |